

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,740	0	9/17/2003	Thomas Howard Bateman	025000-073	2659	
21839	7590	03/30/2005		EXAMINER		
BURNS DO		VECKER & MAT	PERVEEN, REHANA			
ALEXAND				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•				2116		
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	Office Action Summer	10/663,740	BATEMAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Rehana Perveen	2116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 March 2005.					
		Fhis action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 37-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 37-39 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 37-58 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
10)⊠ ੰ	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corumn to The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the priority documents have been received the process (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗹 Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Response to Amendment

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 37-39, drawn to system for connecting a call center to a customer computer, classified in class 710, subclass 36.
- II. Claims 40-49, drawn to details of a call center, classified in class 709, subclass 227.
- III. Claims 50-52, drawn to details of a help requesting apparatus, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- IV. Claims 53-57, drawn to a multi-media message management system, classified in class 709, subclass 206.
- V. Claim 58, drawn to an inbound call processing system, classified in class710, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and I-V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination (Group I) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (any of groups II-V) as claimed because the call center and the customer computer are connected by transmission of a page having an

Art Unit: 2116

URL and a remote help option, generation of help request form and forwarding of the help request form. The system as described above does not require the particulars of the call center, the help requesting apparatus, the multi-media message management system, or the inbound call processing system. The subcombinations have separate utilities such as the call center has an interface to receive the help request form information and a dialing system to establish a voice over IP connection, the help requesting apparatus has a WWW server and a Common Gateway Interface, the multi-media message management system has a multi-media message manager and a server, and the inbound call processing system has a CLID lookup database, an ACD system, and a CTI server.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, Group III, Group IV, or Group V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Newly submitted claims 40-58 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the reasons as stated above.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 40-58 are withdrawn from consideration

as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 37-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,311,231 and claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent

Page 5

Art Unit: 2116

No. 5,884,032 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patents.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: selecting a remote help option from a server page, preparing a help request form, transferring the help request to a call center, and the call center setting up a call.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2116

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen whose telephone number is 571-272-3676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rehana Perveen

Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100